UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,917	11/13/2003	J. Michael Teets	3419-032151	2361
26231 7590 03/16/2010 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER	
			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
MINNEAPOLIS, MIN 33440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/712,917	TEETS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles G. Freay	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 5-9,17,18 and 41 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-9, 17, 18 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine is/are: a) Claim(s) is/are: a) Claim(s)	vn from consideration.  election requirement.	- •xaminer			
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2009, 10/2009, 3/2010.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the Requests for Continued Examination of October 6, 2009 and November 25, 2009. The Supplemental Declaration of November 25, 2009 and the Information Disclosure Statements of 10/6/2009, 10/16/2009 and 3/2/2010 have all been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim sets forth in the last paragraph a "means to modulate said fuel flow…". It is unclear if the applicant intends to invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph because the claim does not present the limitation in a "means for …" type format. For purposes of examination the examiner has assumed that the applicant intended to invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph. Furthermore, it is unclear which specific structure is being claimed by the above recitation (note: see the rejection set forth below in the Reissue Section).

Art Unit: 3746

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,917

Art Unit: 3746

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noe et al (USPN 5,497,615) in view of Schuh (USPN 4,627,234) and Hancock (USPN 4,627,234).

Page 4

Noe et al discloses an electricity generating system including a body 310, 550, 712 in Fig. 3, an annular combustor (Fig. 8), a turbine 400 and a compressor 312, an air inlet port and an air exhaust port 622 and a generator 202, 206 which is on a common shaft/rotor with the compressor and turbine. There are plural magnets 206 that are maintained on the rotor and surrounded by a cylindrical sleeve 208. Noe et al do not set forth that there is a fuel pump or a means to modulate the fuel flow if the exhaust temperature exceeds a predetermined maximum temperature for a period of time. Schuh discloses a similar gas turbine arrangement having an annular combustor (see Figs. 4 and 5), a fuel pump (col. 3 line 9) and a means for controlling or modulating the fuel flow 64, 66, 72. Hancock discloses a gas turbine engine having a fuel control/modulation means which modulates the fuel flow if the exhaust temperature exceeds a temperature limit for a period of time (see abstract and col. 1 line 45-50). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to provide the Noe et al gas turbine with a fuel pump in order to provide the fuel on demand and at an elevated and desired pressure and flow rate to the Noe et al combustor. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to provide a means for modulating the fuel flow as taught by Hancock in order to provide a mechanism for controlling and adjusting the fuel flow to appropriate levels for a variety of operating conditions while also protecting the gas turbine system from thermal damage.

Art Unit: 3746

## Reissue Applications

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

In the declaration of 11/13/2003 the applicant set forth that the error to be corrected was that "claim 1 includes features of the fuel metering valve which unnecessarily narrow the claim". It is unclear which specific features are being discussed. Furthermore, it is unclear how the means plus function recitation in the last lines of claim 41 differentiates that structures and points out the structural difference which was "unnecessarily narrow". The limitations of claim 41 seems to at least include the fuel metering valve and its structure as disclosed.

Claims 5-9, 17-18 and 41 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Applicant is reminded of the continuing obligation under 37 CFR 1.178(b), to timely apprise the Office of any prior or concurrent proceeding in which Patent No. 6,314,717 is or was involved. These proceedings would include interferences, reissues, reexaminations, and litigation.

Art Unit: 3746

Applicant is further reminded of the continuing obligation under 37 CFR 1.56, to timely apprise the Office of any information which is material to patentability of the claims under consideration in this reissue application.

These obligations rest with each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of this application for reissue. See also MPEP §§ 1404, 1442.01 and 1442.04.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles G Freay/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

CGF March 11, 2010